<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Settlement Categories</th>
<th>Villages without settlement boundaries and countryside</th>
<th>Villages with settlement boundaries</th>
<th>Service Villages</th>
<th>Market and Coastal Towns</th>
<th>Weston-super-Mare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary of approach to residential development</td>
<td>Strict control</td>
<td>Infilling only</td>
<td>Small-scale development to support local objectives</td>
<td>Residential development acceptable</td>
<td>Strategic focus for growth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposed settlements** (only settlements with existing settlement boundaries are identified):
- Abbots Leigh
- Blagdon
- Clapton-in-Gordano
- Falfield
- Felton
- Leigh Woods
- Lower Langford
- Portbury
- Redhill
- Tickenham
- Weston-in-Gordano
- Winford
- Bleadon
- Claverham
- Cleeve
- Dundry
- Flax Bourton
- Hutton
- Kenn
- Kewstoke
- Kingston Seymour
- Locking
- Sandford
- Uphill
- Backwell
- Banwell
- Congresbury
- Churchill
- Long Ashton
- Pill/Easton-in-Gordano
- Winscombe
- Wrington
- Yatton
- Nailsea
- Portishead
- Clevedon
- Weston-super-Mare

**Summary of categories of residential development acceptable in principle** (need also to consider constraints as Green Belt, flood risk etc and other policy requirements):

1. Replacement dwellings.
2. Residential subdivision.
3. Residential conversions of existing buildings where alternative economic use not appropriate.
4. Dwellings for farm, forestry workers and other essential rural workers.
5. Affordable housing – rural exception sites.
6. Infilling within the settlement boundary (up to two dwellings).
7. Redevelopment sites within the settlement boundary where it can be demonstrated that:
   - if last used for economic development an economic use is not suitable; and
   - that the proposal is community-led with clear community and environmental benefits.
8. Small-scale residential development within the settlement boundary provided that the proposal:
   - fulfils an identified local housing need in respect of affordability or dwelling mix; and
   - does not generate a demand for local services on a scale that cannot be met; and
   - supports the retention of existing services.
9. Small scale residential or mixed use allocations adjacent to settlement boundaries provided they are community-led and demonstrate clear local benefits such as:
   - improved local employment opportunities, and/or
   - improvements to the mix and tenure of local housing; and/or
   - important infrastructure, community or environmental benefits which would otherwise not be provided.
10. Residential development within the settlement boundary. If the proposal is on a site last used for economic development it must be demonstrated that an economic use is no longer suitable.
11. Residential or mixed use allocations adjacent to the settlement boundary provided they are community-led and provide clear local benefits such as:
   - improved local employment opportunities, and/or
   - important infrastructure, community or environmental benefits which would otherwise not be provided;
   - employment opportunities to support greater self-containment.
12. Planned new communities at Winterstoke and Parklands.
13. Sites of 10 or more dwellings must be employment led.